



## Alert

### S.B. 121

This bill would add "Sexual orientation" to current anti-discrimination law, therefore protecting homosexuality and bi-sexuality as if it were the same as a person's race, in employment, housing, and public accommodations (anything open to the public). Once SB 121 passes, the supporters of this bill intend to expand the definition of "Sexual orientation" to include **"gender identity and expression, whether real or perceived."** This is why we oppose SB 121:

- It is fact: SB 121 Legislation changes public policy and WILL be used to teach children in school about sexual preferences and homosexual practices. (Massachusetts, California) Protection already exists in State law, enacted by our last Governor. SB 121 will result in full promotion of sexual preferences & homosexuality and demonize anyone with an opposing view.
- Fact: Wherever this kind of legislation has passed on the basis of discrimination, "sexual orientation" laws lead to same-sex "marriage" legislation (Massachusetts, New Hampshire, Vermont, and Connecticut). Tell you Legislator that If they enact SB 121, they're **enacting protection** for same-sex "marriage", whether they like it or not.
- Fact: Giving behaviors, tendencies, or lifestyles equal protection as race has a direct impact on businesses. Example: [The case of the Photographer in New Mexico \(Elaine Photography\): The business owner stated religious beliefs for not photographing a same-sex couple's ceremony and referred them to another photographer. Elaine Photography was sued for discrimination and lost.](#)
- Fact: SB 121 legislation has had a direct impact on religious liberties. [According to the House attorney who testified on March 26th, 2009, any church with commercial activities/property \(day care, camp ground, school etc...\) is impacted by SB 121 because they are considered a "public accommodation."](#) [ADF Attorney stated in the Senate SB 121 hearing that the religious exemption clause of SB 121 is grossly inadequate and will guarantee litigation.](#)

- Fact: In Ocean Grove, New Jersey, a church did not allow a same-sex couples ceremony in their pavilion. They too were sued and the church lost their 501c3 status for that part of their ministry. It was later reinstated but the church is still fighting the litigation in court.

For more examples: go to [www.tellADF.org](http://www.tellADF.org)

**Use these talking points for calls, emails and editorials.  
Share this information with people you **KNOW** are with us on these issues.**

[www.delawarefamilies.org](http://www.delawarefamilies.org)  
DFPC P.O. Box 925 Seaford, De 19973